

## LICENSING AND GAMBLING ACTS SUB COMMITTEE

(Civic Centre, Neath)

**Members Present:**

**2<sup>nd</sup> January, 2008**

**Chairman:** Councillor J.Myers

**Vice Chairman:** Councillor Mrs.P.J.Thomas

**Councillor:** E.V.Latham

**Officers in Attendance:** J.Sullivan (Licensing Manager)  
I.Davies (Legal Section)  
Miss C.Grocutt (Committee Section)

---

### **Report of the Licensing Manager**

1. **LICENSING ACT 2003 - LICENSING ACT 2003 (HEARINGS)  
REGULATION 2005 - APPLICATION FOR A REVIEW OF A  
PREMISES LICENCE - THE ARCH NIGHT CLUB & BAR, 11  
COMMERCIAL STREET, NEATH**

The Sub Committee was asked to consider an application for a review of an existing premises licence relating to the above mentioned premises, under the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003.

The request for a review had been received from Mr.S.Coleman of 7 Commercial Street, Neath, who lived near the premises, on the grounds set out in the circulated report.

In attendance at the meeting were Mr. Robert Edwards of Messrs T. Llewellyn Jones representing Mr.S.Coleman and Miss E.Coleman who was also an interested party and had made representations in support of Mr. Coleman, her brother, as detailed in the circulated report.

The Premises Licence Holder and Designated Premises Supervisor (DPS), Mrs. Debra Richards of The Arch Nightclub and Bar was also in attendance along with her representative Mr Martin Probert.

The Licensing Officer advised Members that the premises' Public Entertainment Licence had converted unchanged to a Licensing Act 2003 Premises Licence in November 2005, and that no responsible authorities had submitted any representations in response to the application for the review.

In accordance with the prescribed procedure, the Sub Committee then heard representations from all parties present.

The Sub Committee then adjourned, following receipt of all representations, prior to reaching its final decision.

**RESOLVED:** that, after consideration of the Licensing Officer's report and the representations made by all parties, the Sub Committee felt that, as no evidence in support of the applicant had been received from other responsible authorities namely the Police and the Environmental Health Section and the applicant's evidence was unsubstantiated, the above premises should continue to operate under the current Premises Licence.

**CHAIRMAN**